1	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney MATTHEW DE MOURA Special Assistant United States Attorney			
2				
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900			
4				
5	racsillile. (9	10) 334-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America			
7	United States (	of America		
8		IN THE LINITED ST	CATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10		EASTERN DISTI	MC1 OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		CASE NO. 2:24-CR-0319-WBS	
12		Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.		TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	RAFAEL ARAUZA and YAIR ZUNIGA II,		DATE: April 28, 2025	
15	Defendants.		TIME: 10:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb	
16				
17	STIPULATION			
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, Matthew De Moura, and			
19	defendant Rafael Arauza, by and through his counsel of record, Robert Forkner, and defendant Yair			
20	Zuniga II, by and through his counsel of record, David Fischer, hereby stipulate as follows:			
21	1. The defendants' initial appearance on the Indictment was December 17, 2024.			
22	2. The current status conference is set for April 28, 2025.			
23	3. By this stipulation, the parties now move to continue the status conference until <b>July 28</b> ,			
24	2025 at 10:00 a.m., and to exclude time between April 28, 2025, and July 28, 2025, under Local Code			
25	T4.			
26	4.	The parties agree and stipulate, an	d request that the Court find the following:	
27		a) The government has repres	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
28	includes numerous reports and recordings, including investigative reports, surveillance data,			

11

12

9

14

15 16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

///

///

26

27

28 ///

audio and video recordings and photographs, and lab reports. The government has produced some discovery, but represents that more is forthcoming.

- b) The government filed proposed protective orders on April 24, 2025, to produce more discovery to defense counsel.
- c) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to consult with their clients, review current charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, to discuss potential resolutions with their clients, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- e) The proposed status conference date on July 28, 2025, represents the earliest and most convenient date for counsel. This requested date takes into account counsels' schedules, defense counsels' commitments to other clients, and defense counsels' need for preparation and further investigation into this case.
  - f) Neither the government nor the defense objects to the continuance.
- g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 28, 2025 to July 28, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

## Case 2:24-cr-00319-WBS Document 39 Filed 04/25/25 Page 3 of 4

1				
2	5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the			
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial			
4	must commence.			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
6	Dated: April 24, 2025	MICHELE BECKWITH		
7	Dated. April 24, 2023	Acting United States Attorney		
8		/s/ MATTHEW DE MOURA MATTHEW DE MOURA		
9		Special Assistant United States Attorney		
10		Attorney		
11				
12	Dated: April 24, 2025	/s/ DAVID FISCHER DAVID FISCHER		
13		Counsel for Defendant YAIR ZUNIGA II		
14		I AIR ZUNIGA II		
15	Dated: April 24, 2025	/s/ ROBERT FORKNER ROBERT FORKNER		
16		Counsel for Defendant		
17		RAFAEL ARAUZA		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

## FINDINGS AND ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the parties' stipulation in its entirety as its order. The Court vacates the April 28, 2025, status conference and resets the matter for a status conference on July 28, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. The Court also finds that based on the facts set forth in the parties' stipulation, the failure to exclude time between April 28, 2025, and July 28, 2025, would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. Time from April 28, 2025, to and including July 28, 2025, is excluded from the computation of time within which the trial of this case must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), and Local Code T-4.

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: April 24, 2025

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE